PTOL-413A (07-09)

Sep 29 09 04:10p	Peter Gordon	1-978-207	7-1373 p.1

Examinor: Gragory Vaughn Art Unit 2178 Status of Application: FiNAL Tentative Participants: (1) Peter Gordon (2) Offiver Strimpel (3) Gragory Vaughn (4) Proposed Time: 1:00PM AM/PM Type of Interview Requested: (1) Telephonic (2) Fersonat (3) Video Conference Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: YES NO Issues To Be Discussed Issues Art Discussed Agreed Not Agreed Not Agreed Not Agreed Not Agreed (1) 112, 1st 1			U.S. Patent and Trademark Off	ion: U.S. DEPARTI	MENT OF COMMERCE				
Examinor: Graçony Vaughn Art Unit: 2178 Status of Application: FINAL Tentative Participants: (1) Peter Gordon (2) Oliver Strimpel (3) Graçony Vaughn (4) Proposed Date of Interview: Thu. Oct. 1, 2008 Proposed Time: 1:00PM AM/PM Type of Interview Requested: (1) Teleptonic (2) Fersonal (3) Video Conference Etablist to Be Shown or Demonstrated: YES NO Issues To Be Discussed Agreed Not Agreed Islanding To Agreed	Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form								
Examiner: Gregory Vaughn Art Unit: 2178 Status of Application: FINAL Tentative Participants: (1) Peter Gordon (2) Oliver Strimpel (3) Gregory Vaughn (4) Proposed Date of Interview: Thu Oct. 1, 2008 Proposed Time: 1:00PM AM/PM Type of Interview Requested: (1) Teleptonic (2) Fersonal (3) Video Conference Etablist to Be Shown or Demonstrated: YES NO Issues To Be Discussed Agreed Not Agreed Is Agreed Is Agreed In Agreed Is Agreed In Agreed	Application No.: 09/539,749	Pirst Na:	med Applicant BALKL	JS, Peter					
Tentative Participants: (1) Peter Gordon (2) Officer Stidingel (3) Grogory Vaughin (4) Proposed Date of Interview: Thu, Oct. 1, 2009 Proposed Time: 1:00PM AM/PM Type of Interview Requested: (1) Yet epithonic (2) Personal (3) Video Conference Exhibit to Be Shown or Demonstrated: YES V NO ISSUES TO BE Discussed I									
(1) Peter Gordon (2) Officer Stringel (3) Gregory Vaughin (4) Proposed Date of Interview: Thu, Oct. 1, 2009 Proposed Time: 100PM AM/PM Type of Interview Requested: (1) Telephonic 2 Personal 3 Video Conference Exhibit to Be Shown or Demonstrated:				., _					
Continuation Sheet Attached Cont	Tentative Participants:	00							
Proposed Date of Interview: Thu. Oct. 1, 2008 Proposed Time: 1:30PM AM/PM Type of Interview Requested: (1)									
Type of Interview Requested: (i)	(3) Gregory Vaughn	(4)							
(1) Telephonic (2) Fersonal (3) Video Conference Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: YES NO Issues To Be Discussed YES NO Issues To Be Discussed Size No Size Size No Size Size Size Size No Size Siz	Proposed Date of Interview: Thu. Oct. 1, 2009	_	Proposed Time: 1:00	PM	AM/PM				
Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: YES	Type of Interview Requested:								
If yes, provide brief description: Issues To Be Discussed Agreed Not Agreed (Rel, Obj., etc) Prior Discussed Agreed Not Agreed (A) 112, 1st 1	(t) Telephonic (2) Personal (3) Video Conference								
Issues To Be Discussed Issues (Claime) (Rec), Obj., etc) Prior Discussed Agreed Not Agreed (Rec), Obj., etc) Pig. #s Art Discussed Agreed Not Agreed (1), 112, 1st 1 (2) (3) (4) (2) (3) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4	Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: If yes, provide brief description:	YES	√ NO)					
(Red, Obj., etc) Fig. 8s Art (1) 112, 1st 1 (2) (3) (4) (3) (4) (5) (5) (4) (6) (6) (7) (8) (8) (8) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9									
(4) 112,1st 1 Continuation Sheet Attached Brief Description of Argument to be Presented: Specification of Largument to be Presented: Specification of Largument to be Presented: Specification states on page 6 that the interface "enables an earmor to make assignments hetween tracks in the finalme and frames in the deplay." Applicant would like to decuse the written description rejection in view of this pages and a interview was conducted on the above-identified application on MOTE. This form should be completed by application on MOTE. This form should be completed by application on MOTE. This form should be completed by application on MOTE. This form should be completed by application on MOTE. This form should be delayed from issue because of applicants's failure to substit a written record of this factor item. Therefore, applicants advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) so also as possible of the page of the file of the statement of the substance of this Statement of the Su			Discusseri	Agreed	Not Agreed				
(2) (3) (4) Constnution Sheet Attached Brief Description of Argument to be Presented: Specification states on page 6 that the interface 'anables an author to make assignments hatween tracks in the internal and frames in the display. Applicant would like to discuss the written description rejection in view of this passage. An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on NOTE: This form sheald the completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see NAPLE' § 713.0). This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicants advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as also as possible. Approximate Applicant's representative Signature Peter J. Goodin.		Art							
(3) (4) Continuation Sheet Attached Brief Description of Argument to be Presented: Specification etables on page 6 that the interface 'anables an aumor to make assignments hatween tracks in the interine and frames in the display. Applicant would like to discuss the written description rejection in view of this passage. An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on NOTE: This form sheald the completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see NAPLE' § 713.0). This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicantly advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as also as possible. Approximately in the presentative Signature Peter J. Goodin.	(1) 112, 1st 1		<u></u>	Ш	\Box				
(3) (4) Constnution Sheet Attached Brief Description of Argument to be Presented: Specification stakes on page 6 that the interface 'anables an author to make assignments hatween tracks in the interine and frames in the display. Applicant would like to discuss the written description rejection in view of this passage. An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on NOTE: This form sheald the completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see NAPLE' § 71.01). This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicants advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as also as possible. Approximately for the presentative Signature Peter J. Gooding.	(2)								
[4] Constitution Sheet Attached Brief Description of Argument to be Presented: Specification stakes on page 6 that the interface "anables an author to make assignments hatween tracks in the interface in the display." Applicant would like to discuss the written description rejection in view of this passage. An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on NOTE: This form sheald the completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see NATER § 71.01). This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicants advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as also as possible. Approximation for the presentative Signature Peter J. Goodin.									
Consummine Sheet Attached Brief Description of Argument to be Presented: Specification etables on page 6 that the interface 'enables an author to make assignments hatemen tracks in the Smellne and frames in the display. 'Applicant would like to discuss the written description rejection in view of the passage. An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on NOTE: This form should the completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPLP § 71.501). This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicantly advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible. Approximation of the substance of this interview of the interv				\vdash	H				
Brief Description of Argument to be Presented: Sponshouton stake on page 6 that the interface 'smakke an author to make assignments hetween tracks in the limeline and frames in the display.' Applicant would like to discuss the written description rejection in view of this passessor. An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPLPE' § 713.01). This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant's advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible. Applicant Applicant's expressibilities Signifuture Peter J. Gordin.	(4)		\Box	Ш	Ш				
Spoofdoaffon stake on page 6 that the interface "enables an author to make assignments between tracks in the simeline and frames in the display." Applicant would like to discuss the written description rejection in view of this passage. In view of this passage. In view of this passage. NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01). This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicants a whised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible. Application Applicant's Representative Signature Peter J. Gooding.	Continuation Sheet Attached								
tracks in the timeline and frames in the display. Applicant would like to discuss the written description rejection in view of this passage. In view of this passage. An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on MOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MERE § 71.0.). This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to aubusit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as application. The proceedings of the substance of this interview of the substance of the substance of this interview. The proceedings of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as applicant a substance of the substanc	Brief Description of Argument to be Presented:								
In view of tris passage An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see NAPLE § 713.0). This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as applicant to the control of the substance of the interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as Applicant Applicant's representative Signature Peter J. Gordin.									
An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01). This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Theorem, applicant, a washed to fife a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible. Application Applicant's representative Signature Peter J. Gordon.	tracks in the timeline and frames in the display." Applic	cant would like t	discuss the written de	ascription reje	ction				
NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 71301). This application will not be debyed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon applicant (Applicant's representative Signature Peter J. Gooding 18 Signature Peter J. Gooding 19 Signature Peter J.	in view of this passage.								
(see MPRF § 713.01). This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to aubust a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is not seed to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible. Applicant Applicant: representative Signature Peter J. Gordon.	An interview was conducted on the above-identified	application on	I to the exeminer in a	dvnnce of the	Interview				
This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant's advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon appointed to the substance of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as Applicant's Applicant's Technological Statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as Poter A. Dyaminer/SPE Signature Peter A. Gooding Technological Statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as the substance of the substance o	(see MPKP 8 713.01).								
Approach Applicant's representative Signature Disaminer/SPE Signature Disaminer/SPE Signature	This application will not be deleved from issue becau	use of applican	t's failure to submit a	written reco	rd of this				
Application Applicant's representative Signature Disaminer/SPE Signature									
Peter J. Gordon									
Peter J. Gordon /		_ _	Examiner/SPE	Signature					
	Peter J. Gordon / Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative	-							
35,164	35,164	_							

The valuation of information in reprint day 17C/IX 1.15. The information is reported or that a restrict bodd by for public used by the IX 17C has proved by a special or experience of the IX 17C has proved by the IX 17C ha